arXiv:1102.5574v2 [math.CO] 28 Nov 2011

# On a Problem of Erdős, Herzog and Schönheim[*]

Yong-Gao Chen[†]and Cui-Ying Hu

School of Mathematical Sciences and Institute of Mathematics,

Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210046, P. R. CHINA

## Abstract

Let $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ be distinct primes. In 1970, Erdős, Herzog and Schönheim proved that if $\mathcal{D}$ is a set of divisors of $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n$, no two members of the set being coprime and if no additional member may be included in $\mathcal{D}$ without contradicting this requirement then $|\mathcal{D}| \geq \alpha_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(\alpha_i + 1)$. They asked to determine all sets $\mathcal{D}$ such that the equality holds. In this paper we solve this problem. We also pose several open problems for further research.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications:** 05D05, 11A05

**Keywords:** intersection theorems; divisors; extremal set

# 1 Introduction

Many theorems on intersections of sets have been established. One of intersection theorems is the next theorem of Erdős, Ko and Rado.

---

[*] This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No 11071121.

[†] Corresponding author, email: ygchen@njnu.edu.cn

**Theorem A [1, Erdős-Ko-Rado].** *If $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m\}$ is a family of (different) subsets of a given set $M$, $|M| = n$, such that $A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$ for every $i, j$, then*

*a) $m \leq 2^{n-1}$ and for every $n$ there are $m = 2^{n-1}$ such subsets;*

*b) if $m < 2^{n-1}$ then additional members may be included in $\mathcal{A}$, the enlarged family still satisfying $A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$ for every $i, j$.*

Theorem A is equivalent to the following theorem.

**Theorem B.** *If $\mathcal{A} = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_m\}$ is a set of (different) divisors of a given positive integer $N$, $N = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n$, where $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$ are distinct primes, such that $(d_i, d_j) > 1$ for every $i, j$, then*

*a) $m \leq 2^{n-1}$ and for every $n$ there are $m = 2^{n-1}$ such divisors;*

*b) if $m < 2^{n-1}$ then additional members may be included in $\mathcal{A}$, the enlarged set still satisfying $(d_i, d_j) > 1$ for every $i, j$.*

This means that if $\mathcal{A}$ is a maximal set with the property $(d_i, d_j) > 1$ for every $i, j$, then $|\mathcal{A}| = 2^{n-1}$. If we allow repetitions in $M$ (resp. $N$ is not squarefree), it is more convenient to state results with the language of divisors (see [2], [3] and [4]).

In this paper, $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$ are always distinct primes. Erdős, Herzog and Schönheim [2] proved the following theorem.

**Theorem C [2, Erdős-Herzog-Schönheim].** *If $\mathcal{D}$, $|\mathcal{D}| = m$, is a set of divisors of $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n$, no two members of the set being coprime and if no additional member may be included in $\mathcal{D}$ without contradicting this requirement then*

$$m \geq \alpha_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_i + 1).$$

If $\mathcal{D}$ is the set of all positive divisors of $N$ which are divisible by $p_n$, then $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem C and has the minimum size, that is,

$$|\mathcal{D}| = \alpha_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_i + 1). \tag{1}$$

In [2, Final remark], Erdős, Herzog and Schönheim remarked that it would be of interest to determine all sets $\mathcal{D}$ satisfying the assumptions of Theorem C with (1).

In this paper we solve this problem. For convenience, we introduce the following definitions.

**Definition 1.** *A set $\mathcal{D}$ of positive divisors of $N$ is a $N-$set if no two elements of the set are coprime. A $N-$set $\mathcal{D}$ is maximal if no additional divisor of $N$ may be included.*

**Definition 2.** *For a set $\mathcal{D}$ of positive divisors of $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$, an element $d$ of $\mathcal{D}$ is a divisible minimal element if $d$ cannot be divided by any other element of $\mathcal{D}$. Denote by $d(\mathcal{D})$ the set of all divisible minimal elements of $\mathcal{D}$.*

It is clear that if $\mathcal{D}$ is a maximal $N-$set and $d \in \mathcal{D}$, then $l \in \mathcal{D}$ for all $l \mid N$ with $d \mid l$. Now the above Erdős-Herzog-Schönheim problem can be restated as

**Problem 1.** *Let $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$, where $\alpha_1 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n > 0$. Determine all maximal $N-$sets $\mathcal{D}$ with the minimum size.*

First we find some maximal $N-$sets $\mathcal{D}$ with the minimum size. Let

$$\alpha_1 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_u > \alpha_{u+1} = \cdots = \alpha_n.$$

If $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_n$, let $u = 0$. For any $v$ with $1 \leq v \leq n$, let

$$\mathcal{D}(p_v) = \{d : d \mid N, \, p_v \mid d\}.$$

Then all $\mathcal{D}(p_v) (1 \leq v \leq n)$ are maximal $N-$sets. For $u + 1 \leq v \leq n$ we have

$$|\mathcal{D}(p_v)| = \alpha_v \prod_{i=1, i \neq v}^{n} (\alpha_i + 1) = \alpha_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_i + 1).$$

For $v \le u$ we have

$$|\mathcal{D}(p_v)| = \alpha_v \prod_{i=1, i\ne v}^{n} (\alpha_i + 1) > \alpha_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_i + 1).$$

Now we consider the special case $\alpha_n = 1$. Let $\mathcal{D}'$ be a maximal $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n-$set. By Theorem B we have $|\mathcal{D}'| = 2^{n-u-1}$. Let

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ dd' : d \mid \frac{N}{p_{u+1} \cdots p_n}, d' \in \mathcal{D}' \right\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{D}'$ is a $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n-$set, we have $\mathcal{D}$ is a $N$-set. For $l \mid N$ and $l \notin D$, let $l = l_1 l_1'$, where

$$l_1 \mid \frac{N}{p_{u+1} \cdots p_n}, \quad l_1' \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n.$$

By $l \notin D$ we have $l_1' \notin \mathcal{D}'$. Since $\mathcal{D}'$ is a maximal $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n-$set, there exists $d' \in \mathcal{D}'$ such that $(l_1', d') = 1$. Thus $(l, d') = 1$ and $d' \in \mathcal{D}$. Thus we have proved that $\mathcal{D}$ is a maximal $N-$set. We have

$$|\mathcal{D}| = |\mathcal{D}'| \prod_{i=1}^{u} (\alpha_i + 1) = 2^{n-u-1} \prod_{i=1}^{u} (\alpha_i + 1) = \alpha_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_i + 1).$$

In this paper we show that these are all maximal $N-$sets $\mathcal{D}$ with the minimum size.

**Theorem 1.** *Let $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ with $\alpha_1 \ge \alpha_2 \ge \cdots \ge \alpha_u > \alpha_{u+1} = \cdots = \alpha_n \ge 2$. Then the following statements are equivalent each other:*

*(a) $\mathcal{D}$ is a maximal $N-$set with the minimum size.*

*(b) $\mathcal{D}$ is a maximal $N-$set with $d(\mathcal{D}) = \{p_v\}$ for some $u+1 \le v \le n$.*

*(c) $\mathcal{D} = \{d : d \mid N, \, p_v \mid d\}$ for some $u+1 \le v \le n$.*

**Theorem 2.** *Let $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ with $\alpha_1 \ge \alpha_2 \ge \cdots \ge \alpha_u > \alpha_{u+1} = \cdots = \alpha_n = 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent each other:*

*(a) $\mathcal{D}$ is a maximal $N-$set with the minimum size.*

*(b) $\mathcal{D}$ is a maximal $N-$set with $d(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \{d : d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n\}$.*

*(c)*

$$\mathcal{D} = \{dd' : d \mid \frac{N}{p_{u+1} \cdots p_n}, d' \in \mathcal{D}'\}$$

*for a maximal $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n-$set $\mathcal{D}'$.*

For a set $\mathcal{T}$ of positive divisors of $N$, let $R(\mathcal{T}, N)$ be the set of all positive divisors of $N$ which can be divided by at least one of elements of $\mathcal{T}$. It is easy to see that $R(\mathcal{T}, N)$ is a $N-$set if and only if $\mathcal{T}$ is a $N-$set.

With these notations, we have the following theorems.

**Theorem 3.** *Let $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ with $\alpha_1 \ge \alpha_2 \ge \cdots \ge \alpha_u > \alpha_{u+1} = \cdots = \alpha_n = 1$, and let $\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_k$ be all sets of positive divisors of $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$ such that for each $i$,*

*(a) no any two elements of $\mathcal{T}_i$ are coprime;*

*(b) no element of $\mathcal{T}_i$ can be divided by another element of $\mathcal{T}_i$;*

*(c) any divisor of $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$ is either coprime to some element of $\mathcal{T}_i$ or divisible by one element of $\mathcal{T}_i$.*

*Then $R(\mathcal{T}_1, N), \dots, R(\mathcal{T}_k, N)$ are all maximal $N-$sets $\mathcal{D}$ with the minimum size.*

**Example.** Let $N = 420 = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$. Then $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ and the sets satisfying (a), (b) and (c) are

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \{3\}, \mathcal{T}_2 = \{5\}, \mathcal{T}_3 = \{7\}, \mathcal{T}_4 = \{3 \cdot 5, 3 \cdot 7, 5 \cdot 7\}.$$

Thus there are exactly four maximal $420-$sets $R(\mathcal{T}_1, N), R(\mathcal{T}_2, N), R(\mathcal{T}_3, N), R(\mathcal{T}_4, N)$ such that the equality in Theorem 3 holds.

**Theorem 4.** *Let $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ with $\alpha_1 \ge \alpha_2 \ge \cdots \ge \alpha_u > \alpha_{u+1} = \cdots = \alpha_n \ge 2$. Then $R(\{p_{u+1}\}, N), \dots, R(\{p_n\}, N)$ are all maximal $N-$sets $\mathcal{D}$ with the minimum size.*

Theorem 4 follows from Theorem 1 immediately. We pose the following problem.

**Problem 2.** *Determine the number $H(N)$ of maximal $N-$sets $\mathcal{D}$ with the minimum size.*

**Remark.** If $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ with $\alpha_1 \ge \alpha_2 \ge \cdots \ge \alpha_u > \alpha_{u+1} = \cdots = \alpha_n > 1$, then by Theorem 4 we have $H(N) = n - u$. For the case $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ with $\alpha_1 \ge \alpha_2 \ge \cdots \ge \alpha_u > \alpha_{u+1} = \cdots = \alpha_n = 1$, then $H(N)$ is the number of sets with (a), (b) and (c) in Theorem 3.

## 2 Preliminary lemmas

Let $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$, where $\alpha_1 \ge \cdots \ge \alpha_n > 0$. Let $N' = p_1 \cdots p_n$. For $d \mid N'$, define

$$\alpha(d) = \prod_{p_i \mid d} \alpha_i, \quad \bar{d} = \frac{N'}{d}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{d : d \mid N', d \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{d : d \in \mathcal{A}, p_n \mid d\}, \quad \mathcal{A}'_n = \{d : d \in \mathcal{A}, p_n \nmid d\}.$$

In this section we always assume that $\mathcal{D}$ is a maximal $N-$set. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is also a maximal $N'-$set.

**Lemma 1.** *If $\{p_{u+1}, \ldots, p_n\} \cap \mathcal{D} \neq \emptyset$, then $d(\mathcal{D}) = \{p_v\}$ for some $u+1 \le v \le n$.*

*Proof.* Since $\{p_{u+1}, \ldots, p_n\} \cap \mathcal{D} \neq \emptyset$, there is an integer $u+1 \le v \le n$ such that $p_v \in \mathcal{D}$. By $\mathcal{D}$ being a $N$-set we have $p_v \mid d$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$. Hence $d(\mathcal{D}) = \{p_v\}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 1. $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let $d \mid N'$. Then exactly one of $d$ and $\bar{d}$ is in $\mathcal{A}$.*

*Proof.* Since $(d, \bar{d}) = 1$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is the $N'-$set, we know that at most one of $d$ and $\bar{d}$ is in $\mathcal{A}$.

Suppose that $d \notin \mathcal{A}$. By the maximality of $\mathcal{A}$ there exists $d' \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $(d, d') = 1$. Hence $d' \mid \bar{d}$. Again, by the maximality of $\mathcal{A}$ and $d' \mid \bar{d}$ we have $\bar{d} \in \mathcal{A}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2. $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *We have*

$$\mathcal{A}_n \cup \{\bar{d} : d \in \mathcal{A}'_n\} = \{lp_n : l \mid p_1 \cdots p_{n-1}\}.$$

*Proof.* It is clear that $\mathcal{A}_n \cup \{\bar{d} : d \in \mathcal{A}'_n\} \subseteq \{lp_n : l \mid p_1 \cdots p_{n-1}\}$. Now let $l \mid p_1 \cdots p_{n-1}$. Suppose that $lp_n \notin \mathcal{A}_n$. Then $lp_n \notin \mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 2 we have $\overline{lp_n} \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus $\overline{lp_n} \in \mathcal{A}'_n$. So $lp_n = \overline{\overline{lp_n}} \in \{\bar{d} : d \in \mathcal{A}'_n\}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3. $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a maximal $N-$set with the minimum size and $\mathcal{A}'_n = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_s\}$. Then there exists a permutation $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s$ of $1, 2, \ldots, s$ such that*

$$\bar{d}_{i_j} \mid d_j p_n, \quad \alpha(d_j) = \alpha(\bar{d}_{i_j}), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, s.$$

*Proof.* For $d = p_{i_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\beta_k}$ with $0 < \beta_j \le \alpha_{i_j} (1 \le j \le k)$, by the maximality of $\mathcal{D}$, we have $d \in \mathcal{D}$ if and only if $p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_k} \in \mathcal{A}$. So

$$|\mathcal{D}| = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha(d) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}_n} \alpha(d) + \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}'_n} \alpha(d). \tag{2}$$

By Lemma 3 we have ($\alpha(1) = 1$)

$$\alpha_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_i + 1) = \sum_{l \mid p_1 \cdots p_{n-1}} \alpha(l p_n) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}_n} \alpha(d) + \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}'_n} \alpha(\bar{d}). \tag{3}$$

Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a maximal $N-$set with the minimum size, we have

$$|\mathcal{D}| = \alpha_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_i + 1). \tag{4}$$

By (2), (3) and (4) we have

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}'_n} \alpha(d) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}'_n} \alpha(\bar{d}). \tag{5}$$

In order to prove Theorem C, Erdős, Herzog and Schönheim proved a combinatorial theorem ([2, Theorem 3]). We will employ its following equivalent form to prove Lemma 4.

**Theorem D.** *Let $M$ be a squarefree integer. Denote by $\bar{d}' = M/d$ for $d \mid M$. If $F = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_s\}$ is a set of divisors of $M$ such that $d_i \mid d \mid M \Rightarrow d \in F$, then there exists a permutation $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s$ of $1, 2, \ldots, s$ such that $\bar{d}_{i_j}{}' \mid d_j$ $(1 \le j \le s)$.*

In order to employ Theorem D, let $M = p_1 \cdots p_{n-1}$ and $F = \mathcal{A}'_n$. If $d_i \mid d \mid M$, then by the maximality of $\mathcal{A}$ we have $d \in \mathcal{A}'_n$. Noting that

$$\bar{d}_i{}' = \frac{M}{d_i} = \frac{N'/d_i}{p_n} = \frac{\bar{d}_i}{p_n},$$

by Theorem D there exists a permutation $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s$ of $1, 2, \ldots, s$ such that

$$\frac{\bar{d_{i_j}}}{p_n} \mid d_j, \quad 1 \le j \le s.$$

That is, $\bar{d_{i_j}} \mid d_j p_n (1 \le j \le s)$. Let $d_j p_n = \bar{d_{i_j}} e_j$ $(1 \le j \le s)$. Since $d_{i_j} \in \mathcal{A}$, by Lemma 2 we have $\bar{d_{i_j}} \notin \mathcal{A}$. Thus $\bar{d_{i_j}}/p_n \notin \mathcal{A}(1 \le j \le s)$ by the maximality of $\mathcal{A}$. So $e_j > 1$ $(1 \le j \le s)$, otherwise, $\bar{d_{i_j}}/p_n = d_j \in \mathcal{A}$, a contradiction. Thus, for $1 \le j \le s$, we have

$$\alpha(d_j)\alpha(p_n) = \alpha(d_j p_n) = \alpha(\bar{d_{i_j}} e_j) = \alpha(\bar{d_{i_j}})\alpha(e_j) \ge \alpha(\bar{d_{i_j}})\alpha(p_n).$$

Hence

$$\alpha(d_j) \ge \alpha(\bar{d_{i_j}}), \quad 1 \le j \le s. \tag{6}$$

By (5) and (6) we have

$$\alpha(d_j) = \alpha(\bar{d_{i_j}}), \quad 1 \le j \le s.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4. □

**Lemma 5.** *We have* $D = R(d(D), N)$.

*Proof.* By the maximality of $\mathcal{D}$ and $d(D) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ we have $R(d(D), N) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$. By the definition of $d(\mathcal{D})$ and $R(d(D), N)$ we have $\mathcal{D} \subseteq R(d(D), N)$. So $D = R(d(D), N)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 5. □

# 3 Proof of Theorems

*Proof of Theorem 1.* (a) ⇒ (b): By Lemma 1 we may assume that $\{p_{u+1}, \ldots, p_n\} \cap \mathcal{D} = \emptyset$. Then $p_n \notin \mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 2 we have $\bar{p_n} \in \mathcal{A}$. That is, $\bar{p_n} \in \mathcal{A}'_n$. Let $\mathcal{A}'_n = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_s\}$. By Lemma 4 there exists a permutation $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s$ of $1, 2, \ldots, s$ such that

$$\bar{d_{i_j}} \mid d_j p_n, \quad \alpha(d_j) = \alpha(\bar{d_{i_j}}).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $d_{i_1} = \bar{p_n}$. Then $\alpha(d_1) = \alpha(\bar{d_{i_1}}) = \alpha(p_n) = \alpha_n$. Since $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_u > \alpha_{u+1} = \cdots = \alpha_n \geq 2$, we have $d_1 \in \{p_{u+1}, \ldots, p_n\}$, a contradiction with $\{p_{u+1}, \ldots, p_n\} \cap \mathcal{D} = \emptyset$.

(b) ⇒ (c): It follows from Lemma 5.

(c) ⇒ (a): It follows from the arguments before Theorem 1.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

*Proof of Theorem 2.* (a) ⇒ (b): By Lemma 1 we may assume that $\{p_{u+1}, \ldots, p_n\} \cap \mathcal{D} = \emptyset$. Then $p_n \notin \mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 2 we have $\bar{p_n} \in \mathcal{A}$. That is, $\bar{p_n} \in \mathcal{A}'_n$. Let $\mathcal{A}'_n = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_s\}$. By Lemma 4 there exists a permutation $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s$ of $1, 2, \ldots, s$ such that $\bar{d_{i_j}} \mid d_j p_n, \quad \alpha(d_j) = \alpha(\bar{d_{i_j}})$. As in Lemma 4, let $d_j p_n = \bar{d_{i_j}} e_j (1 \leq j \leq s)$. Since $\alpha_n = 1$ and $\alpha(d_j) = \alpha(\bar{d_{i_j}})$, we have $\alpha(e_j) = 1$ $(1 \leq j \leq s)$. Hence, for $1 \leq v \leq u$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$ we have $p_v \nmid e_j$ and

$$p_v \mid d_j \Leftrightarrow p_v \mid \bar{d_{i_j}} \Leftrightarrow p_v \nmid d_{i_j}.$$

Thus, for $1 \leq v \leq u$ we have

$$|\{j : p_v \mid d_j\}| = |\{j : p_v \nmid d_{i_j}\}| = |\{j : p_v \nmid d_j\}|.$$

So, for $1 \leq v \leq u$ we have

$$|\{j : p_v \mid d_j\}| = |\{j : p_v \nmid d_j\}| = \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{A}'_n|. \tag{7}$$

Let $d(D) = \{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_t\}$. Then $h_i \nmid h_j$ for all $i \neq j$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $p_n \nmid h_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq r)$ and $p_n \mid h_j$ $(r+1 \leq j \leq t)$. Then each $d_i \in \mathcal{A}'_n$ can be divided by at least one of $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_r$. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a maximal $N$-set, we have $d(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. So $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_r \in \mathcal{A}'_n$. Fixed $1 \leq v \leq u$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_w$ are all $h_i$ with $p_v \nmid h_i$ and $p_n \nmid h_i$.

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{d : p_v \nmid d, d \in \mathcal{A}'_n\}$. By (7) we have

$$|\{p_v d : d \in \mathcal{B}\}| = |\mathcal{B}| = \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{A}'_n|.$$

Since $\mathcal{B} \cap \{p_v d : d \in \mathcal{B}\} = \emptyset$ and $\{p_v d : d \in \mathcal{B}\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}'_n$, we have $\mathcal{A}'_n = \mathcal{B} \cup \{p_v d : d \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Let $d \in \mathcal{B}$. If $w < i \leq r$, then by $p_v \mid h_i$ we have $h_i \nmid d$. If

$r < i \le t$, then by $p_n \mid h_i$ and $d \in \mathcal{A}'_n$ we have $h_i \nmid d$. That is, $d$ cannot be divided by any $h_i$ with $i > w$. So $d$ can be divided by one of $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_w$. Thus each $d' \in \mathcal{A}'_n$ can be divided by one of $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_w$. Since $w \le r$ and $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_r \in \mathcal{A}'_n$ and $h_i \nmid h_j$ for all $i \ne j$, we have $w = r$. Thus, we have proved that for all $1 \le v \le u$ we have $p_v \nmid h_i$ $(1 \le i \le r)$.

Now we have proved that for any given $i$ with $1 \le i \le t$, if $p_n \nmid h_i$, then $p_v \nmid h_i$ for any $1 \le v \le u$. Since $\alpha_{u+1} = \cdots = \alpha_n = 1$, the primes $p_{u+1}, \ldots, p_n$ are in the same position. Hence, for any given $i, j$ with $1 \le i \le t$ and $u+1 \le j \le n$, if $p_j \nmid h_i$, then $p_v \nmid h_i$ for any $1 \le v \le u$. This means that for $1 \le i \le t$, if $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n \nmid h_i$, then $(p_1 \cdots p_u, h_i) = 1$, i.e., $h_i \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$. So, for each $1 \le i \le t$, either $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n \mid h_i$ or $h_i \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$. Since $h_i \nmid h_j$ for all $i \ne j$, we have either $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n \mid h_i$ for all $1 \le i \le t$ or $h_i \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$ for all $1 \le i \le t$. If $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n \mid h_i$ for all $1 \le i \le t$, then $p_n \mid h_i$ for all $1 \le i \le t$. Thus $p_n \mid d$ for all $d \in \mathcal{A}$, a contradiction with $\bar{p_n} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $p_n \nmid \bar{p_n}$. Hence $h_i \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$ for all $1 \le i \le t$. That is,

$$d(D) \subseteq \{d : d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n\}.$$

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c): Let $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D} \cap \{d : d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n\}$. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a $N$-set, $\mathcal{D}'$ is a $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$-set. For $d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$, if $d \notin \mathcal{D}'$, then $d \notin \mathcal{D}$. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a maximal $N$-set, there exists $l \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $(d, l) = 1$. By the definition of $d(D)$, $l$ can be divided by an element $l'$ of $d(D)$. So $(d, l') = 1$. By $d(D) \subseteq \{d : d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n\}$ we have $l' \in \mathcal{D}'$. Thus we have proved that $\mathcal{D}'$ is a maximal $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$-set. By $d(D) \subseteq \{d : d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n\}$ we have $d(\mathcal{D}') = d(\mathcal{D})$. By Lemma 5 we have $\mathcal{D}' = R(d(\mathcal{D}'), p_{u+1} \cdots p_n) = R(d(\mathcal{D}), p_{u+1} \cdots p_n)$. Again, by Lemma 5 and $d(D) \subseteq \{d : d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} &= R(d(\mathcal{D}), N) = \{dd' : d \mid \frac{N}{p_{u+1} \cdots p_n}, d' \in R(d(\mathcal{D}), p_{u+1} \cdots p_n)\} \\ &= \{dd' : d \mid \frac{N}{p_{u+1} \cdots p_n}, d' \in \mathcal{D}'\}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a): It follows from the arguments before Theorem 1.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. □

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Suppose that $\mathcal{D}$ is a maximal $N-$set with the minimum size. By Theorem 2 we have

$$d(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \{d : d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n\}.$$

Since no any two elements of $\mathcal{D}$ are coprime, we know that no any two elements of $d(\mathcal{D})$ are coprime. That is (a). By the definition of $d(\mathcal{D})$ we know that no element of $d(\mathcal{D})$ can be divided by another element of $d(\mathcal{D})$. That is (b). Let $l \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$. If $l \in \mathcal{D}$, then $l$ can be divided by an element of $d(\mathcal{D})$. If $l \notin \mathcal{D}$, then, by the maximality of $\mathcal{D}$, there exists $d_1 \in \mathcal{D}$ with $(d_1, l) = 1$. Since $d_1 \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists $d \in d(\mathcal{D})$ with $d \mid d_1$. Hence $(d, l) = 1$. That is (c). Hence $d(\mathcal{D})$ is one of $\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_k$. By Lemma 5 we have $\mathcal{D} = R(d(\mathcal{D}), N)$. Hence $\mathcal{D}$ is one of $R(\mathcal{T}_1, N), \dots, R(\mathcal{T}_k, N)$.

Now we show that each $R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$ is a maximal $N-$set with the minimum size.

Since no any two elements of $\mathcal{T}_i$ are coprime, we know that no any two elements of $R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$ are coprime. That is, $R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$ is a $N-$set. In order to prove that $R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$ is maximal, it is enough to prove that for any $l > 1$ with $l \mid N$ and $l \notin R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$ there exists $d \in R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$ with $(d, l) = 1$. It is enough to prove that there exists $d \in \mathcal{T}_i$ with $(d, l) = 1$. Let $l_1 = (l, p_{u+1} \cdots p_n)$. Noting that $\mathcal{T}_i$ is a set of positive divisors of $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$, it is enough to prove that there exists $d \in \mathcal{T}_i$ with $(d, l_1) = 1$. Since $l \notin R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$, we know that $l$ cannot be divided by any element of $\mathcal{T}_i$. So $l_1$ cannot be divided by any element of $\mathcal{T}_i$. By the definition of $\mathcal{T}_i$ (i. e. (c) of Theorem 3), there exists $d \in \mathcal{T}_i$ with $(d, l_1) = 1$. Thus we have proved that $R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$ is a maximal $N-$set. Noting that no element of $\mathcal{T}_i$ can be divided by another element of $\mathcal{T}_i$, we have $d(R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)) = \mathcal{T}_i$. Since $\mathcal{T}_i \subseteq \{d : d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n\}$, by Theorem 2 we have $R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$ has the minimum size. This completes the proof of Theorem 3. $\square$

# 4 Final Remarks

Finally we pose the following problems for further research.

**Problem 3.** *Fix* $t \geq 2$ *and* $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n$. *Let* $\mathcal{D}$ *be a set of positive divisors* $d$ *of* $N$ *which have exactly* $t$ *distinct prime factors (i.e.* $\omega(d) = t$*) such that no two members of the set being coprime and no additional member may be included in* $\mathcal{D}$ *without contradicting this requirement. Determine* $m(N,t) = \min |\mathcal{D}|$.

**Problem 4.** *Fix* $t \geq 2$ *and* $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n$. *Let* $\mathcal{D}$ *be a set of positive divisors* $d$ *of* $N$ *which have exactly* $t$ *prime factors (i.e.* $\Omega(d) = t$*) such that no two members of the set being coprime and no additional member may be included in* $\mathcal{D}$ *without contradicting this requirement. Determine* $M(N,t) = \min |\mathcal{D}|$.

**Acknowledgements.** We are grateful to the referees for their valuable comments.

# References

[1] P. Erdős, C. Ko, R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 12 (1961) 313-320.

[2] P. Erdős, M. Herzog and J. Schönheim, An extremal problem on the set of noncoprime divisors of a number, Israel J. Math. 8 (1970) 408-412.

[3] P. Erdős, J. Schönheim, On the set of non pairwise coprime divisors of a number. Combinatorial theory and its applications, I (Proc. Colloq., Balatonfüred, 1969), pp. 369-376. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.

[4] M. Herzog and J. Schönheim, On certain sets of divisors of a number, Discrete Math. 1 (1972) 329-332.